

17

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,710	09/05/2000	Suman Preet Singh Khanuja	056859/0107	8287
75	90 06/28/2002			
Bernhard D. Saxe FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour			EXAMINER	
			COE, SUSAN D	
			·	
3000 K Street, I Washington, Do			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
w asimigton, De	20007-3107		1651	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	1.3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/655,710	KHANUJA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Coe	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with th corresp ndence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the linal rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final	Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the po R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			,			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,9,10 and 27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7,8,11-26 and 28-48.						
8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. ☐ Other:						

Application N .

Applicant(s)

والأراق ع

• >-. Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the claims will not be entered because they change the scope of the claims in a manner that would require further search and would raise new 112 2nd issues.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the claims as they are in the proposed amendment; however, the amendment will not be entered for the reasons stated.

LEÓN B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER